



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,382	09/11/2003 Masaaki Kiyomiya		107156-00205	3790	
7	7590 08/09/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX SUITE 400	KINTNER PLOTK	MILLER,	MILLER, BRIAN E		
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-5339	2627			

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/659,38	32	KIYOMIYA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Art Unit				
		Brian E. N	liller	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	May 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
'=	/ —			secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
·								
	Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· -	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election r	aguirement					
		or election is	equirement.					
Applicati —	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Infon	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

This application is a CON of 09/306,572 (now USP 6,690,638) and claims 6-11 are now

pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. The newly added language includes "mass of the sub-frame itself or the sub-frame

including mounted members" and "Of course, even if nothing is mounted on the sub-frame, it is

possible to obtain the effect of suppressing the vibration of the main frame." The correlation of

"mass" and "weight" should be clearly pointed out and it is questioned by the Examiner why this

has been changed in the specification. With respect to the language "even if nothing is mounted

on the sub-frame..." it would seem that the apparatus would not operate properly and would be

essentially incomplete. This newly added language is not supported by the original disclosure.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,382 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

3.

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. (a) Claim 6 now recites, "wherein the whole mass of the sub-frame is 1/10 - 1/3

of the whole mass of the main frame". From this language, it is unclear as to what encompasses

the whole mass of the sub frame and main frame, since the specification (at page 8, lines 13-18)

sets forth that the mass includes all mounted members, however, the claim(s) are absent this

critical feature(s).

(b) Further, last line of claim 6, the phrase "not including the whole mass of the sub-frame" is

misdescriptive. It is not readily apparent whether or not mounted members on the sub-frame

would be encompassed by this mass as well. As written, it appears that the mass of the mounted

members is 1/10-1/3 of the mass of the main frame including mounted members, which

interpretation would not be commensurate with the disclosure, and therefore renders the claim(s)

indefinite.

(c) It is further noted that it is not apparent whether the elastic members add to the weight/mass

of the main frame weight which is on top of the main frame when mounted.

From this, the metes and bounds of the claim(s) cannot be readily ascertained at this time.

Response to Amendment

4. The amendment and remarks filed 5/16/06 have been considered, however, do not place

the application in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2627

A...Applicant submits that the newly added language to the specification and the amended claims overcome the 112 first and second paragraph 1 & 2 rejections. The Examiner maintains these rejections, modifying them appropriately, for the new matter added to the specification.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-757878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,382 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

BEM August 6, 2006